Talk about disingenuous foreign policy. The Washington administration wrongly believed that its Qatar alignment with the Islamic Republic could win a new and powerful ally in the Gulf region. Talk about a disappointment. Washington supported lifting international economic sanctions on the Islamic Republic in the hope of bringing about a new beginning in US-Iranian relations and to realise that there would be no change coming from the Iranian theocratic leaders, who continue to behave just as they had in the past and continue to regard the United States with trepidation, distrust and animosity.

The Iranian mullahocracy looks at the United States as a power to be feared, a power that infringes on the domestic affairs of countries in the region and a country it considers to be an enemy of its Islamic revolution, one that Tehran aspires to export. The Obama administration bet on improving relations with Iran much to the delight of Pakistani, Gulf Arab and other Gulf states that regard Iran with just about as much trepidation, distrust and animosity as US harbours for the West. Indeed, the Saudis had hoped they could convince Washington of the dangers posed by Iran to the stability of the region. In one of the many tens of thousands of US State Department cables that were leaked, former Saudi King Fahd wrote to the White House, asking the Americans to “cut off the head of the snake”, in reference to the Iranian leadership. The Saudis were terribly disappointed by the lack of leadership shown by US President Barack Obama in handling the crisis in Syria where the regime of President Bashar Assad, supported by Iran, was killing mostly Sunni Muslims.

Obama’s indecisiveness and poor counsel from his secretary of state on how to handle the Syria crisis have strained previously study relations between Saudi Arabia and the United States that, with minor deviations, existed since the end of World War II. Indeed, relations between the two countries have reached nadir since the two countries first established a partnership under President Franklin D. Roosevelt and King Abdulaziz ibn Saud. Meanwhile, US Secretary of State John Kerry led this miscalculation of US foreign policy in what became the Obama’s White House policy (or rather lack thereof) in dealing with Syria’s civil war.

Washington perhaps expected Tehran to be the largest market for US fabricated goods, and a tad less a barely in recogni- tion of its support in having international sanctions lifted over the nuclear talks. Perhaps Washington believed the Iranians would be thankful and a White House comparable, securing the oil-rich region from the immediate threat posed by the Islamic State (ISIS). But with the ink not yet dry on the agreement, the Islamic Republic returned to its old habits of promoting anti-American sentiments and encouraging continued calls of “Death to America”. Frisian sermons in mosques across the land continued to call for the destruction of the United States and the death of Americans. Hardly the way one treats potential allies, is it?

The shortcoming of the US foreign policy is undoubtedly Washington’s inability to think that other countries and other entities will act in manners similar to its own. Indeed, Iran’s aggression and contempt towards both Saudi Arabia and the United States reflects the failure and bankruptcy of the fatuous Obama-Kerry-Susan Rice policy.

The Obama trio really as- sumed that freeing up Iran’s frozen assets would make the Islamic Republic back into the fold of the international community. Talk about taking one’s dreams for reality. Washington observers say there was a feeling around the Obama White House that perhaps Iran would help fill the power gap in the region and join the United States in fighting ISIS. Still, the United States continues to mindlessly appease Iran, failing to realise that there is potentially a far greater threat to the region and beyond than the now shrinking ISIS. The Iranians don’t bother to hide the fact.

Furthermore, not only had Washington miscalculated on Iran politics and policies but it also failed to predict Russia’s entry into the conflict giving Moscow a far stronger footing in the Mediterranean than it ever had, even under US direct intervention. Talk about erroneous foreign policies.
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